UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,662	02/18/2004	Yukio Nakagawa	IS-US031017	8934
22919	7590 07/10/2006		EXAMINER	
	P COUNSELORS, LI	SELLS, JAMES D		
	STREET, NW, SUITE 7 ON, DC 20036-2680	00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1734	
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/779,662	NAKAGAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
James Sells	1734	

	James Selis	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ring replies: (1) an amendment, aff ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (to the AdNET) of the Adnoted Section 1.	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11		ected claims.	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 	 See attached Notice of Non-Co 	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>13</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12 and 14-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. Other:			
<u> </u>	MES SELLS		
	ARY EXAMINER		
	. CENTER 1700		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The concept of the bag transport mechanism being configured to maintain the inclination of the bag in the vertical plane while the bag is being transported would require further consideration.